

**AGENDA
CITY OF HARTFORD
FINANCE & PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
TUESDAY, MARCH 8, 2016
6:45 P.M.**

1. Call to order.
2. Roll call.
3. Public comment period.
4. Discussion and consideration of an ordinance creating Section 41.23 – Chronic Nuisance Premises, and Amendment of Section 42.02(1) Bond Schedule, of the Hartford Municipal Code. (Executive Summary attached)
5. Discussion and consideration of an ordinance amending Section 340.0014(5) to repeal and recreate the section, to include a prohibition of parking unauthorized vehicles in a zone posted - for parking police vehicles only. (Executive Summary attached)
6. Adjournment.

NOTE: "PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES REQUIRING SPECIAL ACCOMODATIONS FOR ATTENDANCE AT THE MEETING SHOULD CONTACT THE CITY CLERK AT LEAST ONE (1) BUSINESS DAY PRIOR TO THE MEETING."

"MEMBERS OF THE COMMON COUNCIL MAY ATTEND THE ABOVE MEETING, PURSUANT TO STATE EX REL. BADKE V. GREENDALE VILLAGE BOARD, 173 WIS 2D 553, 494 N.W. 2D 408 (1993). SUCH ATTENDANCE MAY BE CONSIDERED A MEETING OF THE COMMON COUNCIL. THIS NOTICE IS GIVEN SO THAT MEMBERS OF THE COMMON COUNCIL MAY ATTEND THE MEETING WITHOUT VIOLATING THE OPEN MEETING LAW."

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

TITLE: **Creation of Section 41.23 – Chronic Nuisance Premises, and
Amendment of Section 42.02(1) Bond Schedule**

BACKGROUND:

Occasionally, it becomes apparent that certain premises in the City of Hartford generate an inordinate amount of calls for police services to deal with recurring nuisance activities. These particular premises create an undue drain on departmental resources. The increased demand required to address these chronic nuisance premises has a variety of negative impacts on our mission and our community:

- Repeated responses to chronic nuisance premises place an increased demand on our personnel resources. This additional commitment of our staff has a negative financial impact on our departmental operations.
- Repeated responses to chronic nuisance premises negatively impact the quality of life for other residents in the immediate area.
- Repeated responses to chronic nuisance premises negatively impact the quality of life for other Hartford citizens. The simple fact is that when our personnel resources are committed to dealing with a chronic nuisance premises they are not available to respond to other legitimate calls for service.
- Repeated responses to chronic nuisance premises negatively impact the quality of life because our personnel are not available to perform their routine patrol function. Undesignated patrol activities, or simply driving the streets within our community, heightens visibility, enhances the public perception of our community's safety, and creates genuine deterrence to would-be offenders. Those benefits are lost while addressing chronic nuisance premises issues.

While the proposed ordinance would address all premises, it is important to realize that many of these chronic nuisance premises are not actually occupied by the owner. It is our intent that this ordinance will encourage responsible ownership of such properties. To that end, we are proposing a progressive protocol to utilize when working with property owners to abate nuisance activities. Ultimately, the proposed ordinance establishes that if the chronic nuisance activities are not abated, the property owner(s) will be held responsible.

The proposed ordinance is not intended to discourage crime victims or a person in legitimate need to police services from requesting them. The proposed ordinance does not affect a premise owner's duty to comply with Fair Housing Laws, nor does it affect a premises owner's duty to comply with all other laws governing tenancies which are contained in Wisconsin §704, Chapter ATCP 134 Residential Rental Practices of the Wisconsin Administrative Code and Chapter 17 of the Hartford Municipal Code.

The proposed ordinance has been crafted in large part based on resource materials from the City of Appleton's Municipal Code regarding Chronic Nuisance Premises, Chapter 22 of the Hartford Municipal Code, and other reference materials pertaining to these matters.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Proposed bonds for these offenses are listed below. The financial impact, in terms of the fines that would be collected, is difficult to estimate. Please see the attachment detailing how the proposed ordinance would have impacted a current Chronic Nuisance Premises if it were currently in place. Additionally, it is important to note that enhancing the quality of life in our community is the primary impetus for the recommendation for the adoption of this ordinance rather than revenue generation.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that Section 41.23 of the Hartford Municipal Code be created to read as follows:

41.23 CHRONIC NUISANCE PREMISES. (1) Definitions. The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this section, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Authorized official means singularly or collectively, the Police Chief, Fire Chief, Building Inspector or their designee with jurisdiction to enforce the various statutes and ordinances prohibiting nuisance activities.

Chief of Police means the City of Hartford Police Department Chief of Police or his/her designee thereof.

Fire Chief means the City of Hartford Fire Department Fire Chief or his/her designee thereof.

City Inspections Department or Inspections means the Building Inspections service of the Hartford Planning, Zoning & Building Inspection Department and the Hartford Fire Departments.

Person means any natural person, agent, association, firm, partnership, corporation or other entity capable of owning, occupying or using property in the city of Hartford.

Person associated with means any person who, whenever engaged in a nuisance activity, has entered, patronized, visited, or attempted to enter, patronize or visit, or waited to enter, patronize or visit a premises or person present on a premises, including without limitation any officer, director, customer, agent, employee, or any independent contractor of a property, person in charge, or owner of a premises.

Person in charge means any person, in actual or constructive possession of a premises including, but not limited to, an owner or occupant of premises under his or her ownership or control.

Premises means a commercial business, public or private clubhouse, a place of abode, a residence, a house or multiple dwelling unit for one (1) or more persons, including lodging houses, hotels, motels and tourist rooming houses, and associated common areas, yards and parking lots. In the case of multiple dwelling units. "Premises," as used in this section, may consist of any single unit providing complete, independent living facilities for one (1) or more persons, including provisions for living, sleeping, eating, cooking and sanitation.

Chronic Nuisance Premises Notice (CNP Notice) means the notice issued by the Chief of Police and/or his or her designee.

Enforcement action means any of the following: The physical arrest of an individual(s), the issuance of a citation for a law violation, and/or referral of charges by the police to the City Attorney or District Attorney for prosecution for nuisance activities.

Chronic nuisance premises means a premises that meets any of the following criteria:

- A. A premises which has generated three (3) or more calls for police services that have resulted in enforcement action for nuisance activities on three (3) separate days within a ninety (90) day period or six (6) such calls within a one (1) year period. This includes enforcement action taken against any person associated with the premises while at or within two hundred feet (200) of the premises for a nuisance activity; or
- B. A premises which has generated three (3) or more corrective orders from City Inspections for nuisance activities from at least three (3) inspections occurring within a one (1) year period; or
- C. A premises for which a court of law has determined that, pursuant to a search warrant request, probable cause exists that manufacture, distribution or delivery of a controlled substance has occurred on or in association with the premises within thirty (30) days prior to the date of the search warrant application; or
- D. Is a premises which has had one (1) enforcement action associated with the premises resulting from the manufacture, delivery or distribution of a controlled substance(s) as defined in Wisconsin §961 or a premises which is used as a meeting place of a criminal gang, or that is used to facilitate the activities of a criminal gang as defined in Wisconsin §s.939.22(9).
- E. A premises which has any combination of six (6) or more individual contacts, corrective orders or enforcement actions as described in subsections (A) through (D) above within a one (1) year period.

(2) **Nuisance activities** may include any of the following activities, behaviors or conduct:

- A. An act of harassment as defined in Wisconsin §947.013 or Hartford Municipal Code 41.947.013.

- B. Disorderly conduct as defined in Wisconsin §947.01 or Hartford Municipal Code 41.947.01.
- C. Crimes of violence as defined in Wisconsin §940.
- D. Resisting or obstructing an officer as prohibited by Wisconsin §946.41 or Hartford Municipal Code 41.946.41
- E. Indecent conduct as prohibited by Wisconsin §944.20 or Hartford Municipal Code 41.944.20.
- F. Damage to property as prohibited by Wisconsin §943.01 or Hartford Municipal Code 41.943.01.
- G. The production or creation of noises disturbing the peace, as prohibited by Hartford Municipal Code 22.02(4)(h), 22.06(1), 22.06(2), or 340.0009.
- H. Discharge or improper possession of a dangerous weapon as prohibited by Wisconsin §941.23, 941.295, or Hartford Municipal Code 41.941.23, 41.941.295, or 41.02.
- I. Crimes involving illegal possession of firearms as defined in Wisconsin §941.23, 941.26, 941.28, 941.29 and 948.60.
- J. Trespass to land as defined in §943.13, or criminal trespass to dwelling as defined in Wisconsin §943.14 or Hartford Municipal Code 41.943.14.
- K. Loitering, obstructing a street or sidewalk, as prohibited by Hartford Municipal Code 41.03 and 41.13.
- L. Theft as defined in Wisconsin §943.20 or Hartford Municipal Code 41.943.20.
- M. Arson as defined in Wisconsin §943.02 or Hartford Municipal Code 41.943.02.
- N. Depositing rubbish as prohibited by Hartford Municipal Code 7.10(1), 22.02(5), and 26.07.
- O. Keeping a place of prostitution as defined in Wisconsin §944.34.
- P. Prostitution as prohibited by Wisconsin §944.30.
- Q. Soliciting prostitutes as prohibited by Wisconsin §944.32.
- R. Pandering as prohibited by Wisconsin §944.33.

- S. Procuring/Furnishing Intoxicants to Underage Persons as prohibited by Wisconsin §125.07(1)(a)(1) or as prohibited by Hartford Municipal Code 35.11(1)
- T. Permit Consumption by Underage Person as prohibited by Wisconsin §125.07(1)(a)(3) or as prohibited by Hartford Municipal Code 35.11(2).
- U. Possession/Consumption of Intoxicants by Underage Person as prohibited by Wisconsin §125.07(4)(b) or as prohibited by Hartford Municipal Code 35.11(8)
- V. Selling, offering for sale or giving away of any intoxicating liquors or fermented malt beverages without a license as prohibited by Wisconsin §125.04(1).
- W. Possession, manufacture, distribution or delivery of a controlled substance or related offenses as defined in Wisconsin §961.
- X. Maintaining a drug dwelling as defined in Wisconsin §961.42.
- Y. Illegal gambling as defined in Wisconsin §945.02.
- Z. Owning, keeping or harboring a dangerous animal or prohibited dangerous animal contrary to Hartford Municipal Code 26.08.
- AA. Any other nuisances set forth in Chapter 22.
- BB. Violations of Chapter 6 fire prevention and protection of the Hartford Municipal Code.
- CC. Violations of the Chapter 17 Housing Maintenance or Chapter 29 Property Maintenance Codes of the Hartford Municipal Code.
- DD. Any violations of Hartford Municipal Code 4.21 or Section 66.0407, Wis. Stats., pertaining to noxious weeds.

(3) **Procedures**

- A. When a premise meets the definition, and is declared a chronic nuisance, the authorized official shall provide written notice of the declaration to the premises owner. A courtesy copy will also be sent to the alderperson of the affected district. The Chronic Nuisance Premises Notice (“CNP Notice”) shall be deemed delivered if sent either by first class mail to the premises owner’s last-known address or delivered in person to the premises owner. If the premises owner cannot be located, the notice shall be deemed to be properly delivered if a copy of it is left at the premises owner's usual place of abode in the presence of some competent member of the family at least 14 years of age, or a competent adult currently residing there and who shall be informed of the contents of the CNP Notice. If a current address cannot be located, it shall be deemed sufficient if a copy of the CNP Notice is sent by first class mail to the last-known address of the

owner as identified by the records of the City Assessor. The CNP Notice shall contain the following information:

- i. Street address, parcel number or a legal description sufficient to identify the premises.
 - ii. A concise statement, including a description of the relevant activities supporting the determination that the premise is a chronic nuisance premises.
 - iii. A statement that the owner shall immediately notify the authorized official of any change in address to ensure receipt of future notices.
 - iv. A statement that the actual costs of future enforcement may be assessed as a special charge against the premises.
 - v. A statement that the owner shall, within ten (10) days of the date the CNP Notice is mailed, contact the authorized official and schedule a meeting with that official to develop a written action plan to abate the nuisance, or notify the official in writing of the intention to appeal.
 - vi. A statement that the premises owner shall at all times comply with the fair housing requirements contained in Chapter 17, Article XIII, of the Hartford Municipal Code when considering any action against a tenant based upon a CNP Notice.
 - vii. A statement that the premises owner, in addition to actual abatement costs, may be subject to forfeiture action described in Section 42.02(1) of the Hartford Municipal Code for each day a chronic nuisance is allowed to continue.
- B. In reaching a determination that a premises is a chronic nuisance premises, activities that were reported to the Police or other City departments by the premises owner or on-site premises manager shall not be included as nuisance activities.
- i. Wisconsin §968.075, broadly defines “domestic abuse”. Therefore, in reaching a determination that a premises is a chronic nuisance premises, activities that are “domestic abuse” incidents pursuant to Wisconsin §968.075, shall not be included as nuisance activities unless the incidents have been reviewed by the Chief of Police and the Office of the City Attorney and a determination is made that, based upon the specific facts of each incident, the activities should be deemed nuisance activities. In determining whether to include such activities, the Chief of Police and Office of the City Attorney shall consider the strong public policy in favor of domestic victims reporting alleged abuses, and this ordinance shall not operate to discourage such reports.

- ii. If the owner responds to the CNP Notice with a written action plan to abate the nuisance, the authorized official may accept, reject or work with the owner to modify the action plan. The plan is acceptable if it can reasonably be expected to result in abatement of the nuisance activities described in the CNP Notice within sixty (60) calendar days of the mailing of the CNP Notice in Section (3)(A).
 - iii. Premises owners shall be counseled regarding nuisance abatement methods and strategies and shall be encouraged to submit a comprehensive nuisance abatement action plan that considers alternatives to eviction in situations where eviction is not the sole remedy available to abate the nuisance activity.
 - iv. If the premises owner meets with the authorized official and presents an acceptable abatement action plan and implements the terms of the action plan, the authorized official will delay further enforcement of this ordinance, including cost recovery.
 - v. If the premises owner ceases to cooperate with the efforts to abate the nuisance activities, the authorized official may reinstitute enforcement of this ordinance and the premises owner may be sent a change in status letter. This letter will document the authorized official's efforts to contact and/or obtain cooperation of the owner.
- C. Whenever the authorized official determines that any of the following have occurred:
- i. A premises owner has failed to respond to the CNP Notice;
 - ii. Enforcement action for an additional nuisance activity has occurred at a premises for which notice has been issued pursuant to this ordinance and this enforcement action has occurred not less than fifteen (15) days after the CNP Notice has been issued in accordance with Section (3)(A).; or
 - iii. An action plan submitted has not been completed;

The authorized official may calculate the actual costs of enforcement to abate this and any subsequent nuisance activities and may refer such cost to the City Finance Department so that the cost may be billed to the premises owner. The authorized official shall provide written notice to the premises owner of the decision to refer the cost of enforcement to the City Finance Department. The notice shall contain:

- i. The street address or legal description sufficient for identification of the premises.

- ii. A statement that the authorized official has referred the cost of enforcement to the City Finance Department.
- iii. Notice of the premises owner's right to appeal.
- iv. A statement advising the owner that in addition to any other penalty imposed by this chapter for the continuance of a chronic nuisance property, the cost of abating a public nuisance by the city shall be collected as a debt from the owner, and if notice to abate the nuisance has been given to the owner, such cost shall be assessed against the real estate as other special taxes.
- v. A statement advising the owner each subsequent incident of enforcement action for nuisance activity shall be deemed a separate violation and costs will continue to be assessed until the nuisance is abated.

(4) **Penalties and remedies.**


- A. **Cost recovery.** The authorized official shall keep an accurate account of the cost of enforcement and shall report it to the City Finance Department. The Finance Director shall charge any premises owner found to be in violation of this section the costs of enforcement in full or in part. Such costs shall be billed to the premises owner by invoice sent by regular mail and must be paid within thirty (30) days of the date on the invoice. Any unpaid invoice shall be a lien on such premises and may be assessed and collected as a special charge pursuant to Wisconsin §66.0627. Pursuant to **Section 42.04 FEES**, of the Hartford Municipal Code, the Finance Director shall cause to be added an Administrative Fee for these **Special Assessment Letters of \$100.00** to the total cost of enforcement charged to the benefited premises owner in the invoice any time the premises is declared a chronic nuisance premises.
- B. **Suspension of cost recovery.** If after the receipt of a billing notice from the Finance Department, the premises owner develops an acceptable action plan and implements the plan, the authorized official may suspend further enforcement of this ordinance. The premises owner is still responsible for any enforcement costs incurred prior to the premises owner's submitting an action plan, including the administrative fee. If the premises owner ceases to cooperate with the efforts to abate the nuisance activities, the authorized official may reinstitute enforcement of this ordinance after sending the premises owner a change in status letter.


- (5) **Forfeiture.** A forfeiture action may be commenced for each enforcement action for nuisance activity occurring after the premises has been declared a chronic nuisance premises. The forfeiture assigned for violations of this ordinance in **Section 42.02(1) BOND SCHEDULE** of the Hartford Municipal Code may be issued for each enforcement action.

- (6) **Appeal.** Appeal of the determination of the authorized official under this section may be made in writing to the Finance and Personnel Committee. Appeals of the action of the City Finance Department imposing special charges against the premises may also be submitted in writing to the Finance and Personnel Committee. Appeals shall be in writing, filed with the City Clerk no more than ten (10) days after notice is issued to the property owner.
- (7) **Injunction.** This section may be enforced by injunction.
- (8) **When Nuisance is deemed abated.** The public nuisance created by a chronic nuisance premises shall be deemed abated when no enforcement action to address nuisance activities occurs and there are no Police, Building Inspection, or Fire inspection cases generated for a period of six (6) consecutive months from the date of compliance with the action plan.
- (9) **Severability.** The terms and provisions of this ordinance are severable. Should any term or provision of this ordinance be found to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining terms and provisions shall remain in full force and effect.


Staff also recommends that **Section 42.02(1)** of the Hartford Municipal Code be amended to include the following Bond Schedule for these violations:


ORDINANCE NUMBER	OFFENSE DESCRIPTION	DEPOSIT AMOUNT	26% PENALTY ASSM'T	COUNTY JAIL ASSM'T	CRIME LAB DRUG FEE	MUNICIPAL COURT COSTS	TOTAL
41.23	Chronic Nuisance Premises						
	1 st	125.00	32.50	10.00	13.00	38.00	218.50
	2 nd	200.00	52.00	10.00	13.00	38.00	313.00
	3 rd	300.00	78.00	10.00	13.00	38.00	439.00


PREPARED BY:  DATE: 02-11-16
 David A. Groves, Chief of Police

REVIEWED BY:  DATE: 2-16-16
 Paul Stephans, Fire and Rescue Chief

REVIEWED BY:  DATE: 2/17/16
 Justin Drew, Planning & Zoning Director

REVIEWED BY:  DATE: 2/17/16
 Dawn Timm, Finance Director

REVIEWED BY:  DATE: 2/22/16
 Ian Prust, City Attorney

APPROVED BY:  DATE: 2/26/16

Steven Volkert, City Administrator
Committee Routing: Finance and Personnel Committee -
Common Council -

March 8, 2016
March 22, 2016
April 12, 2016

FINANCIAL IMPACT SUPPLEMENT

ACTUAL RESPONSES TO AN EXISTING CHRONIC NUISANCE PROPERTY COST AND ANTICIPATED RECOVERY UNDER PROPOSED ORDINANCE 42.23


DATE	INCIDENT	POLICE COST	FIRE/EMS COST	TOTAL COST	CNP ELIGIBLE	ABATEMENT RECOVERY ELIGIBLE COSTS	
11/27/2015	DISORDERLY CONDUCT	\$ 58.07	\$ -	\$ 58.07	NO	\$ -	
11/28/2015	DISORDERLY CONDUCT	\$ 25.09	\$ -	\$ 25.09	NO	\$ -	
12/6/2015	RECOVERED STOLEN AUTO	\$ 11.01	\$ -	\$ 11.01	NO	\$ -	
12/8/2015	THEFT	\$ 23.97	\$ -	\$ 23.97	YES	\$ 23.97	
12/9/2015	DISORDERLY CONDUCT	\$ 22.55	\$ -	\$ 22.55	YES	\$ 22.55	
12/27/2015	DISORDERLY CONDUCT	\$ 31.35	\$ 28.50	\$ 59.85	YES	\$ 59.85	
1/5/2016	SEX OFFENSE	\$ 23.38	\$ -	\$ 23.38	YES	\$ 23.38	
1/10/2016	SEX OFFENSE	\$ 1,318.73	\$ 31.35	\$ 1,350.08	YES	\$ 1,350.08	
1/11/2016	TRESPASSING	\$ 28.14	\$ -	\$ 28.14	YES	\$ 28.14	
TOTAL CNP ABATEMENT EXPENDITURES:		\$ 1,542.29	\$ 59.85	\$ 1,602.14		\$ 1,507.97	94%

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

TITLE: Chapter 340.0014 (5) – PARKING RESTRICTIONS IN MUNICIPAL LOTS

BACKGROUND:

The portions of the municipally owned parking lots east of N. Johnson St. in the below map have been designed for police operational usage on a daily basis. The northern most section has been utilized for police parking since the completion of the remodeling project of 1984. The southern area was created in the recent City Hall/Police Department Renovation Project.



This redesign has necessitated revisiting Section 340.0014 of the Hartford Municipal Code entitled, *"PARKING RESTRICTIONS IN MUNICIPAL LOTS."*

Both of the areas intended to exclude parking of unauthorized vehicles for police department operational usage require the authority of an underlying ordinance and the proper posting of signage indicating these restrictions before these restrictions can become enforceable.

Section 340.0014(5) currently reads, *"The west three parking spaces in the north row of the City Hall Parking Lot shall be reserved for city-owned vehicles. (CREATED 04/26/05 – ORDINANCE NO. E-561)."*

These parking spots no longer exist.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The only fiscal impact of this project would be the cost of properly erecting signs giving notice of these restrictions. The northern area already has appropriate signage. The new area created by the renovation design would require a total of 2 signs. Each sign would cost approximately \$50, for a total fiscal impact not to exceed \$100.

RECOMMENDATION:


Staff recommends that Chapter 340.0014(5) of the **PARKING RESTRICTIONS IN MUNICIPAL LOTS** be repealed and recreating to read as follows:

“It is unlawful for any vehicle, other than an authorized police vehicle, to stop, stand or park in zones posted no parking police vehicles only. Violation may result in immediate impound if the vehicle impedes the authorized use of the zone.”

Staff also recommends that appropriate city staff be authorized to acquire and post signage in the unposted areas designated for police use only indicating this restriction.

PREPARED BY:  **DATE:** 02-18-16
David A. Groves, Chief of Police

REVIEWED BY:  **DATE:** 2-18-16
Jason Schall, City Engineer

REVIEWED BY:  **DATE:** 2-22-16
Ian Prust, City Attorney

APPROVED BY:  **DATE:** 2-18-16
Steven Volkert, City Administrator

Committee Routing: Finance and Personnel Committee -
Common Council -

March 8, 2016
March 22, 2016
April 12, 2016

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 340.0014(5) TO REPEAL AND RECREATE THE SECTION TO INCLUDE A PROHIBITION OF PARKING UNAUTHORIZED VEHICLES IN A ZONE POSTED FOR PARKING POLICE VEHICLES ONLY.

WHEREAS, the Common Council of the City of Hartford, Washington/Dodge Counties, Wisconsin, has previously designated in Section 340.0014(5) of the municipal code that, "*The west three parking spaces in the north row of the City Hall Parking Lot shall be reserved for city-owned vehicles*"; and,

WHEREAS, the parking spaces identified in this section no longer exist as a result of the recent City Hall/Police Department remodeling project; and,

WHEREAS, the Common Council deems it in the interest of public safety operations to codify a prohibition of parking unauthorized vehicles in locations that police vehicles must conduct daily operations.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE HARTFORD COMMON COUNCIL, WISCONSIN DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1: Subsection **340.0014(5)**, regarding **PARKING RESTRICTIONS IN MUNICIPAL LOTS**, of the Hartford Municipal Code is hereby repealed and recreated to read as follows:

"It is unlawful for any vehicle, other than an authorized police vehicle, to stop, stand or park in zones posted no parking police vehicles only. Violation may result in immediate impound if the vehicle impedes the authorized use of the zone."

SECTION 2: Said amendments to Section **340.0014(5)**, shall be effective upon passage and publication.

Signed:

Joseph C. Dautermann, Mayor

INTRODUCED: March 8, 2016

ADOPTED:

ATTEST:

Lori Hetzel, City Clerk